UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/589,811	06/08/2007	Mark I. Greene	UPN-5240	2423	
	7590 07/16/2009 WASHBURN LLP		EXAMINER		
CIRA CENTRE	E, 12TH FLOOR		YU, MELANIE J		
2929 ARCH ST PHILADELPH	KEET IA, PA 19104-2891		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1641		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/16/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application	Application No. Applicant(s)						
			10/589,811		GREENE ET AL.				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			MELANIE Y		1641				
The MAILIN Period for Reply	G DATE of this commu	nication appe	ars on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1) Responsive	to communication(s) file	ed on 17 Auc	aust 2006						
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 August 2006</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
/ <u>—</u>		<i>'</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is			
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims	· •								
· <u></u>		annlication							
· , · ·	Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
	is/are objected to.								
·	is,are objected to: 7 are subject to restrict	ion and/or ele	ection requi	rement					
	r are subject to resurrer	ion ana, or or	ootion roqui	iomone.					
Application Papers —									
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
	s) filed on is/are	-	•	-					
-	not request that any obje								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)∐ The oath or o	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
	n's Patent Drawing Review (lee Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	PTO-948)	4 5 6	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Dillow Notice of Informal F Dillow Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/589,811 Page 2

Art Unit: 1641

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-9, drawn to a method comprising the special technical feature of immobilizing a molecule expressing an epitope in a sample to a solid support.

Group II, claim(s) 10-16, drawn to a method comprising the special technical feature of adding cell lysate to a mixture of biotinylated molecules.

Group III, claim(s) 17-21, drawn to a kit comprising the special technical feature of a container comprising streptavidin.

Group IV, claim(s) 22-27, drawn to a kit comprising the special technical feature of a container comprising a mixture of biotinylated monoclonal antibodies.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Groups I-IV do not share a corresponding special technical feature over the prior art. Furthermore, the application contains method and product claims to more than one of the combinations of inventions as set forth by 37 CFR 1.475.

According to 37 CFR 1.475 regarding unity of invention:

(a) An international and a national stage application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept ("requirement of unity of invention"). Where a group of inventions is claimed in an

Application/Control Number: 10/589,811 Page 3

Art Unit: 1641

application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features " shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.

- (b) An international or a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories:
- (1) A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or
- (2) A product and a process of use of said product; or
- (3) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or
- (4) A process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process; or
- (5) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an

apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process.

Unity of invention exists only when there is a technical relationship among the claimed inventions involving one or more special technical features. The term "special technical features" is defined as meaning those technical features that define a contribution with each of the inventions considered as a whole, makes over the prior art. The determination is made based on the contents of the claims as interpreted in light of the description and drawings.

The kits recited in groups III and IV do not share a special technical feature that defines a contribution over the prior art. Kingsbury et al. (US 2002/0058800) teach a kit comprising: a container comprising a biotinylated monoclonal antibodies (par. 379); a container comprising an RNA polymerase (par. 380 and 270); and a container comprising a fluorescent dye (par. 379).

The methods recited in groups I and II do not share a special technical feature that defines a contribution over the prior art. Greene et al. teach a method comprising:

Page 4

contacting a support with molecules that bind to immobilized molecules (col. 2, lines 34-52); amplifying a bound oligonucleotide by RNA amplification to produce an RNA amplification product (col. 5, lines 15-20); contacting the amplified oligonucleotide with a fluorescent dye which stains the RNA amplification product (col. 5, lines 28-31 and 45-52); and detecting fluorescence emitted from the stained RNA amplification product that is indicative of the molecule comprising the selected epitope being present in the sample (col. 5, lines 32-52).

Applicants are allowed at most one product, one method of making and one method of using in a single general inventive concept. However, the product and method of using of groups I-IV do not form a general inventive concept because they do not share a special technical feature over the prior art. Kingsbury et al. teach the common features of the kits recited in groups III and IV. Therefore the products and methods of using the product do not share a corresponding special technical feature.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 4. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

 Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

 All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result

Application/Control Number: 10/589,811 Page 6

Art Unit: 1641

in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MELANIE YU whose telephone number is (571)272-2933. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Shibuya can be reached on (571) 272-0806. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Melanie Yu/ Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1641